

## A STUDY ON DRUG PRESCRIBING PATTERN IN HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS IN A TERTIARY CARE TEACHING HOSPITAL AT DEHRADUN, UTTARAKHAND

Mirza Atif Beg<sup>1</sup>, Shaktibala Dutta<sup>1</sup>, Amit Varma<sup>2</sup>, Ravi Kant<sup>3</sup>, Shalu Bawa<sup>1</sup>,  
Mohammad Anjoom<sup>1</sup>, Saubhagya Sindhu<sup>1</sup>, Santosh Kumar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Shri Gru Ram Rai Institute of Medical and Health Sciences, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, Shri Gru Ram Rai Institute of Medical and Health Sciences Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Rishikesh, Uttarakhand, India

Correspondence to: Mirza Atif Beg (mabeg1997@gmail.com)

DOI: 10.5455/ijmsph.2014.170420146

Received Date: 15.04.2014

Accepted Date: 27.04.2014

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Irrational drug prescribing is a common practice globally; it results in increased morbidity, mortality & economic burden on society. Drug utilisation studies are an important tool to promote rational prescribing.

**Aims & Objective:** To study on drug prescribing pattern in hypertensive patients.

**Materials and Methods:** A drug utilisation study was conducted in hypertensive patients by the department of pharmacology in medicine OPD at SGRRIM & HS, Dehradun for 6 months. 645 prescriptions were evaluated for prescribing pattern by using WHO drug use indicators.

**Results:** 645 prescriptions were analysed. A total of 1828 drugs were prescribed. 697 (38.13%) antihypertensives, 243 (13.30%) antidiabetics, 174 (9.52%) non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), 154 (8.44%) statins, 114 (6.24%) thyroid hormone, 54 (2.95%) anti-anxiety/antidepressants and 392(21.44%) miscellaneous drugs were prescribed. 697 antihypertensive drugs were prescribed. 234 (33.57%) angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), 117 (16.79%) angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, 95 (13.63%) Beta blockers, 83 (11.91%) Calcium channel blockers 168 (24.10%) Fixed dose combinations (FDCs) of antihypertensives were included. 2.83 drugs were prescribed per prescription. 225 (32.28%) antihypertensives were prescribed from essential medicine list.

**Conclusion:** Most commonly prescribed drugs were ARBs and ACE inhibitors. Rational prescribing requires consideration to dose and duration and interaction with other medications.

**Key Words:** Drug Utilization; Rational Prescribing; Fixed Dose Combinations; Therapeutic Audit; Hypertensive Patients

### Introduction

Hypertension is one of the major chronic diseases resulting in high mortality and morbidity worldwide.<sup>[1,2]</sup> It is a leading risk factor for coronary heart disease, stroke and chronic renal disease. Evidence from large clinical trials now suggests that lowering blood pressure effectively prevents these adverse outcomes.<sup>[3,4]</sup> Selection of antihypertensive agents should therefore be based primarily on their comparative ability to prevent these complications. It is therefore important that once the diagnosis of hypertension is established, blood pressure should be adequately controlled through regular follow-up, lifestyle modification, exercise and effective antihypertensive drugs.<sup>[5]</sup> The study of prescribing pattern is a component of medical audit which seeks monitoring, evaluation and necessary modifications in the prescribing practices of the prescribers to achieve rational and cost effective medical care.<sup>[5]</sup> It is necessary to define prescribing pattern and to identify the irrational prescribing habits to drive a remedial message to the prescribers. Therefore, drug utilization studies, which evaluate and analyze the

medical, social and economic outcomes of the drug therapy, are more meaningful and observe the prescribing attitude of physicians with the aim to provide drugs rationally.<sup>[6,7]</sup>

Keeping all these facts in consideration, the present study was designed to analyze the prescribing patterns of antihypertensive drugs in a tertiary care teaching hospital in Dehradun, Uttarakhand.

### Materials and Methods

A prospective drug utilization study was conducted in hypertensive patients by the Department of Pharmacology in Medicine OPD at SGRRIM & HS, Dehradun for a period of 6 months. Approval of the Institutional Ethics Committee was obtained prior to the commencement of the study. A total of 645 prescriptions were analysed to evaluate the prescribing pattern in hypertensive patients. Prescriptions were assessed using WHO drug indicators like drug class, dosage form, fixed dose combinations (FDCs) and drugs from National Essential Medicine List 2011.

Frequency of utilization of antihypertensive medications was charted. Antihypertensive medications were grouped in 4 major categories: Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, Angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), Calcium channel blockers and Beta blockers. The fixed dose combinations of antihypertensive drugs were also assessed. The antihypertensives prescribed from Essential Medicine List were also assessed. Besides antihypertensives, we evaluated the use of other medications too like antidiabetics, statins, NSAIDs, thyroid hormones, anti-anxiety/antidepressant drugs and other drugs.

## Results

### Demographic Profile

During the entire study period, a total of 645 prescriptions were assessed. 291 (45.12 %) were male and 354 (54.88 %) were female patients. The mean age of patients was 54.14 ±1.09 years. The average Body Mass Index (BMI) was 26.22 ± 0.86 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The values were expressed in Mean ± SEM. Majority of patients belonged to middle socio economic status n=533 (82.64%), 93 (14.41%) patients were in lower socio economic group and the rest 19(2.94%) patients belonged to upper socioeconomic status. Family history of hypertension was present in 226 (35.03%) patients. History of addiction to either smoking or alcohol was present in 131 (20.31%). Co-morbid conditions associated with Hypertension included Type 2 Diabetes mellitus in 174 (26.97%), hypothyroidism in 114(17.67%), Coronary artery disease in 18 (2.79%) and Bronchial Asthma in 14 (2.17%) patients (table 1).

A total of 1828 drugs were prescribed. 697 (38.13%) were antihypertensives, 243 (13.30%) antidiabetic drugs, 174 (9.52%) NSAIDs, 154 (8.44%) Statins, 114 (6.24%) Thyroid hormones, 54 (2.95%) anti-anxiety/antidepressants and miscellaneous category included 392 (21.44%) drugs (table 2, figure 1).The miscellaneous category of drugs comprised of multivitamins and antioxidants, antacids, calcium tablets, antibiotics, anti-allergics, aspirin and nitrates.

### Antihypertensive Drugs Prescribed

A total of 697 antihypertensive drugs were prescribed. Angiotensin Receptor blockers (ARBs) were 234 (33.57%), ACE inhibitors were 117 (16.79%). Beta blockers were 95 (13.63%) and Ca channel blockers were 83 (11.91%).

**Table-1: Demographic profile**

Parameters	No. (%)	
Females	354 (54.88)	
Males	291 (45.12)	
Mean Age (years)	54.14 ±1.09	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26.22 ±0.86	
Middle SES	533 (82.64)	
Lower SES	93 (14.41)	
Upper SES	19 (2.94)	
Family History of HTN	226 (35.03)	
H/O addiction smoking or alcohol	131 (20.31)	
Coexisting Conditions	Type 2 Diabetes mellitus	174 (26.98)
	Hypothyroidism	114 (17.67)
	Coronary Artery disease	18 (2.79)
	Bronchial Asthma	14 (2.17)

**Table-2: Different drug class prescribed over the study period**

Drug Class	No. (%)
Antihypertensives	697 (38.13)
Antidiabetics	243 (13.30)
NSAIDs	174 (9.52)
Statins	154(8.44)
Thyroid Hormones	114 (6.24)
Antianxiety/ antidepressants	54 (2.95)
Miscellaneous	392 (21.44)

**Table-3: Total antihypertensives prescribed**

Antihypertensives	No. (%)
Angiotensin Receptor Blockers	234 (33.57)
ACE inhibitors	117 (16.79)
Beta blockers	95 (13.63)
Ca channel blockers (CCBs)	83 (11.91)
Fixed dose combinations	168 (24.10)

**Table-4: Frequency of administration of individual drugs**

Drug Class	Drug	No. (%)
Angiotensin receptor blockers	Olmesartan	196 (28.12)
	Losartan	20 (2.86)
	Telmisartan	18 (2.58)
	Total	234 (33.57)
ACE inhibitors	Ramipril	84 (12.05)
	Enalapril	33 (4.73)
	Total	117 (16.79)
Beta blockers	Atenolol	82 (11.76)
	Metoprolol	7 (1.04)
	Nebivolol	6 (0.86)
	Total	95 (13.63)
Ca channel blockers	Amlodipine	83 (11.90)

**Table-5: Fixed drug combinations**

Combination drugs	No. (%)
Amlodipine + Atenolol	56 (33.33)
Olmesartan + Hydrochlorthiazid	37 (22.02)
Losartan + Hydrochlorthiazide	22 (13.09)
Ramipril + Hydrochlorthiazide	20 (11.90)
Telmisartan + Hydrochlorthiazide	18 (10.71)
Olmesartan + Amlodipine + Hydrochlorthiazide	15 (8.9)

**Table-6: Antihypertensives prescribed from National Essential Medicine List 2011**

Drugs	No. (%)
Amlodipine	83 (36.88)
Atenolol	82 (36.44)
Enalapril	33 (14.66)
Losartan	20 (8.88)
Metoprolol	7 (3.11)

Total 168 (24.10%) FDCs were prescribed (table 3, figure 2). Amongst ARBs, the leading drugs were

Olmesartan 196 (28.12%), Losartan 20 (2.86%) and Telmisartan 18 (2.58%). Amongst ACE inhibitors the most commonly prescribed drug was Ramipril 84 (12.05%) followed by Enalapril 33 (4.73%). Atenolol 82 (11.76%) was the most commonly prescribed Beta blocker followed by Metoprolol 7 (1.04%) and Nebivolol 6 (0.86%). Amlodipine 83 (11.90%) was the only Calcium channel blocker prescribed. Out of 168 fixed dose combinations, most common was Two drug combination of Amlodipine and Atenolol 56 (33.33%) followed by Olmesartan and Hydrochlorothiazide 37 (22.02%), Losartan and Hydro-chlorothiazide 22 (13.09%), Ramipril Hydrochlorothiazide 20 (11.90%), Telmisartan Hydrochlorothiazide 18 (10.71%) followed by Three drug combination of Olmesartan, Amlodipine and Hydrochlorothiazide 15 (8.9%). Overall, two drug therapy was more common 153 (91.07%) than three drug therapy 15 (8.93%) (Table 4, 5).

33 (14.66%) Enalapril, 20 (8.88%) Losartan and 7 (3.11%) Metoprolol (table 6).

**Discussion**

A prescription based survey is considered to be one of the most effective methods to assess and evaluate the prescribing attitude of the physicians and dispensing practice of pharmacists.<sup>[8]</sup> It is also important to consider the recommendations of international bodies on hypertension that help to improve prescribing practice of the physicians and ultimately, the clinical standards. A continuous supervision is therefore required through such kinds of systematic audit, which provide feedback from the physician and help to promote rational use of drugs.<sup>[9]</sup>

The present study observed that incidence of hypertension was higher in females, that was not comparable to the earlier studies on hypertensive patients.<sup>[10,11]</sup> The average age of patients in the present study was 54.14 ± 1.09 years, reflecting usual age group of disease manifestation. This was comparable to the age of patients in two studies where it was reported to be 52.3 years and 52.93 years.<sup>[12,13]</sup> A positive family history was present in 226 (35.03%) patients in this study. This was comparable with previous study conducted by Rahim MA et al.<sup>[14]</sup> Because of the probable multifactorial inheritance, familial association in hypertension has not been proven yet, but there are epidemiological evidences, the association of hypertension with positive family history cannot be undermined. Hypertension is commonly associated with obesity in developed nations, although in developing countries majority of patients were non-obese. BMI of the patients were slight higher (26.22 ± 0.86 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and not comparable with previous study by Radhika G, Sathya RM et al.<sup>[15]</sup> The pattern of hypertension might be changing in our country due the change in life style and food habits.<sup>[16]</sup>

In the present study it was observed that most commonly prescribed antihypertensive agents were Angiotensin Receptor Blockers and Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors, which was comparable with a previous study by Elliott WJ et al.<sup>[17]</sup> The coexisting diseases were diabetes, coronary artery disease and hypothyroidism, and co-prescribed drugs were antidiabetics, statins and thyroid hormones. Since most prevalent coexisting disease was Type 2 Diabetes mellitus, the prescription of ARBs and ACE inhibitors seems justified as these drugs have a protective role in




Figure-1: Different drug class prescribed over the study period




Figure-2: Total Antihypertensives Prescribed

The average number of drugs prescribed per prescription was 2.83. 97.43% drugs were prescribed by oral formulations and 100 % drugs were prescribed by their brand names. Out of 697 prescribed antihypertensives, 225 (32.28%) drugs were prescribed from National Essential Medicine List 2011 which included 83 (36.88%) Amlodipine, 82 (36.44%) Atenolol,

diabetic patients. Previous reports from studies in both humans and animals have revealed that inhibitors of renin angiotensin system have reduced the incidence of new onset diabetes in hypertensive subjects apart from the adequate blood pressure control.<sup>[17]</sup> These drugs are known to decrease the onset and progress of microvascular complication of hypertension and diabetes mellitus as described in previous studies.<sup>[18]</sup> In the present study diuretics were not used in monotherapy. They were only a party of two/three drug regimen. Underutilisation of diuretics has been reported from time to time. A study by Preethi G Pai et al. has described the decrease in prescribing trend of diuretics.<sup>[19]</sup> Lesser use of diuretics in the present study may be due to adverse effects of diuretics on glucose homeostasis and lipid profile.<sup>[20]</sup>

Earlier studies also suggested that an ideal combination therapy must include antihypertensive drugs possessing complementary modes of action that possess synergistic anti-hypertensive effects without any adverse effects, at low doses.<sup>[9]</sup> Furthermore, the antihypertensive drug combination therapy should be able to minimise or counteract the reflex compensatory mechanism that limit the fall in blood pressure.<sup>[21]</sup> In the present study two drug therapy was more commonly prescribed than three drug therapy which was comparable with other study.<sup>[19]</sup> In the two drug combination, a beta blocker (Atenolol) with calcium channel blocker (Amlodipine) was most often prescribed which was comparable with other study by H Tiwari et al.<sup>[9]</sup> In this form of combination and in addition to its favourable complementary synergistic effects, beta blockers tend to blunt the troublesome reflex tachycardia induced by dihydropyridine class of calcium channel blockers. The latter may additionally counteract any peripheral vasoconstriction caused by former. Their combined efficacy has been confirmed in another study without causing adverse drug interactions or poor tolerability.<sup>[22]</sup> The fixed dose combination of beta blocker and calcium channel blocker provides efficiency and tolerability in the treatment of arterial hypertension.<sup>[23]</sup> Overall, 24.10% patients in our study received fixed dose combinations. This may be an attempt to improve patient compliance and reduce treatment costs. Such trend of FDCs in hypertension has also been reported in some other studies in India.<sup>[24]</sup>

Average number of drugs prescribed per patient was 2.83 which was less compared to previous study where 3.97 drugs were prescribed per prescription.<sup>[25]</sup> In our study all drugs were prescribed by their brand names,

poor prescribing of generic drugs can be because of concern about their quality. In the present study 225 (32.28%) drugs were prescribed from Essential Medicine List which was less as compared with previous study by R Shankar et al where 45% were prescribed from the National essential medicine list 2011.<sup>[26]</sup>

## Conclusion

To conclude, most of the prescriptions were rational, but further improvement is needed. Further studies focussed on rationale for choice of drug based on demographic data, economic status, associated conditions and complications would give additional insights into prescribing patterns in hypertension in India. Rational prescribing requires consideration to dose and duration as well as interaction with other medications. A therapeutic audit with more parameters of analysis to provide regular feedback to researchers and prescribers may encourage rational prescribing in hypertension.

## References

- Collins R, Peto R, MacMahon S, Hebert P, Fiebach NH, Eberlein KA, et al. Blood pressure, stroke and coronary heart disease. Short-term reductions in blood pressure: overview of randomized drug trial in their epidemiological context. *Lancet* 1990;335:827-38.
- Hansson L. The benefits of lowering elevated blood pressure: a critical review of studies of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in hypertension. *J Hypertens* 1996;14:537-44.
- Blood Pressure Lowering Treatment Trials Collaboration. Effects of ACE inhibitors, calcium antagonists, and other blood-pressure lowering drugs: results of prospectively designed overviews of randomized trials. *Lancet* 2000;355:1955-64.
- Staessen JA, Wang JG, Thijs L. Cardiovascular protection and blood pressure reduction: a meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2001;358:1305-15.
- Gupta N, Sharma D, Garg SK, Bhargava VK. Auditing of prescriptions to study utilization of antimicrobials in tertiary hospital. *Indian J Pharmacol* 1997;29:411-5.
- Kapoor B, Raina RK, Kapoor S. Drug prescribing pattern in a teaching hospital. *Ind J Pharmacol* 1985;17:168.
- Pradhan SC, Shewade DG, Shashindran CH, Bapna JS. Drug utilization studies. *National Med J India* 1988;1:185.
- Yuen YH, Chang S, Chong CK, Lee SC, Critchlev JA, Chan JC. Drug utilization in a hospital general medical outpatient clinic with particular reference to antihypertensive and antidiabetic drugs. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 1998;23:287-94.
- Tiwari H, Kumar A, Kulkarni SK. Prescription monitoring of antihypertensive drug utilization at the Panjab University Health Centre in India. *Singapore Med J* 2004;45:117-20.
- Burt VL, Whelton P, Roccella EJ, Brown C, Cutler JA, Higgins M, et al. Prevalence of Hypertension in the US adult population. *Hypertension* 1995;25:305-13.
- Zachariah MG, Thankappan KR, Alex SC, Sarma PS, Vasan RS. Prevalence, correlates, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in a middle aged urban population in Kerala. *Indian Heart J* 2003;55:245-51.
- Shobha JC, Kumar TR, Raju BS, Kamath S, Rao M, Harwal, et al. Evaluation of efficacy and safety of Losartan potassium in the treatment of mild to moderate hypertension as compared to Enalapril maleate. *J Assoc Physicians Ind* 2000;48:497-500.
- Fogari R, Zoppi A. Comparative effects of Lisinopril and Losartan on insulin sensitivity in the treatment of non diabetic

- hypertensive patients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1998;46:467-71.
14. Rahim MA, Rahman MM, Rahman M, Ahmed F, Chowdhury J, Islam F. The Prevalence rate of Hypertension in Rural Population of Bangladesh 2012;18:12-7.
  15. Radhika G, Sathya RM, Sudha V, Ganesan A, Mohan V. Dietary salt intake and hypertension in an urban south Indian population [CURES-53]. *J Assoc Physicians Ind* 2007;55:405-11.
  16. Mqonda YM, Ramaiya KL, Swai AB, McLarty DG, Alberti KG. Insulin resistance and hypertension in non-obese Africans in Tanzania. *Hypertension* 1998;31:114-8.
  17. Elliott WJ, Meyer PM. Incident diabetes in clinical trials of antihypertensive drugs: a network metanalysis. *Lancet* 2007;369:201-7.
  18. European Society of Hypertension-European Society of Cardiology Guidelines Committee. *J Hypertens* 2003;21(6):1011-53.
  19. Pai PG, Shenoy J, Sanji N. Prescribing Patterns of antihypertensives drugs in a south Indian tertiary care hospital. *Drug Invention Today* 2011;3:38-40.
  20. Prisant LM, Beall SP, Nicholads GE, Feldman EB, Carr AA, Feldman DS, et al. Biochemical, endocrine, and mineral effects of indapamide in black women. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1990;30:121-6.
  21. Chalmers J. The place of combination therapy in the treatment of hypertension in 1993. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 1993;15:1299-313.
  22. Dahlof B, Hosie J. Antihypertensive efficacy and tolerability of a fixed combination of metoprolol and felodipine in comparison with the individual substances in monotherapy. The Swedish/United Kingdom Study Group. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1990;16:910-6.
  23. Carre A. Pharmacologic importance of the combination atenolol/nifedipine in hypertensive patients. *Drugs* 1998;56 Suppl 2:23-30.
  24. Augustine L, Prasanth NV, Sanal Dev KT, Jasmin S, Kappekkat Y, Shinu C, et al. A study conducted on prescribing pattern and cost of anti-hypertensive drugs in a tertiary level hospital in South Malabar region of Kerala. *Der Pharma Chemica* 2010;2(6):332-41.
  25. Sandozi T, Emani VK. Survey of Prescription Pattern of antihypertensive drugs in hypertensives and hypertension associated diabetics. *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences* 2010;1:23-6.
  26. Shankar R, Partha P, Shenoy N. Prescribing Patterns Of Drugs Among Patients Admitted With Cardiovascular Disorders In The Internal Medicine Ward.: Prescribing Patterns In Inpatients. *The Internet Journal of Pharmacology* 2001;1:256-68.

**Cite this article as:** Beg MA, Dutta S, Varma A, Kant R, Bawa S, Anjoom M, et al. Study on drug prescribing pattern in hypertensive patients in a tertiary care teaching hospital at Dehradun, Uttarakhand. *Int J Med Sci Public Health* 2014;3:922-926.

**Source of Support:** Nil

**Conflict of interest:** None declared

IJMSPH